Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicai	ıt(s)
	2007	10/661,576	SHIRAIS	SHI, SHUNTA
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Gay Ann Spahn	3673	
All Participants:		Status of Applicat	on:	
(1) Gay Ann Spahn, Patent Examiner.		(3)		
(2) Harvey B. Jacobson, Jr, Attorney.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 June 2005		Time:		
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: 11-30				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUS	SED:
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. Th	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summa of the interview	ary of the substance, since the interview
				;
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature –	if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Attorney Jacobson to note that method claims 11-24 were in condition for allowance, but that newly added system claims 25-30 were being restricted out by original presentation. The examiner inquired as to whether Attorney Jacobson were willing to cancel claims 25-30 in order for case to be allowed. However, Attorney Jacobson said that he would have to contact his client first. The examiner noted that this case had to have an action done and therefore told Attorney Jacobson that she would send out a Quayle Action.